	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/781,515	DONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Morra</u> .	(4)	÷
Date of Interview: <u>15 September 2003</u>	Time: <u>1pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-5, and 10-12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant agreed to insert the term "radial" after the "actively adjusting the" in claim 1 and amendment claims 3-5 and 10-12 accordingly to reflect the change made in claim 1		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
In 2 9/15/03		
	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)